1 2 atinia Will So Ordered. 3 Patricia C. Williams **Bankruptcy Judge** 4 Dated: November 16th, 2012 5 6 7 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 In re: 10 LLS AMERICA, LLC, No. 09-06194-PCW11 11 Debtor. 12 13 BRUCE P. KRIEGMAN, solely in his capacity as court-appointed Chapter 11 Trustee for LLS America, 14 15 Adv. No. 11-80098-PCW Plaintiff, 16 17 VS. ROBERT OMAND and JOANNE MEMORANDUM DECISION RE: 18 EFENDANTS ROBERT AND OMAND, 19 JOANNE OMAND'S MOTION TO Defendants. DISMISS (ECF NO. 11) 20 This adversary is one of hundreds commenced by the trustee of the LLS America, 21 LLC ("LLS America") bankruptcy estate, which adversaries seek, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 22 § 548 and other causes of action, to recover money paid by the debtor to certain lenders 23 or investors as part of an alleged Ponzi scheme conducted by the debtor. Defendants 24 25 Robert and Joanne Omand filed a motion to dismiss on December 18, 2011, ECF No. 11.

In a similar adversary, *Kriegman v. Cooper*, No. 11-80093-PCW, a written decision was entered on July 2, 2012, ECF No. 146, regarding similar motions to dismiss and an oral decision was rendered on May 24, 2012, ECF No. 118, on the issue of

MEMORANDUM DECISION RE: . . . - Page 1

26

27

28

pleading fraud with particularity ("Previous Decision"). The issues regarding dismissal raised in the subject motion are the same as those raised in the Previous Decision. Many of the facts in the Previous Decision are relevant to the subject motion.

By affidavit of Duane Swinton (ECF No. 21), the trustee presented evidence in this case that the defendants loaned or invested \$629,006 (CAN) and eight (8) promissory notes were issued. In 324 distributions occurring from October 2002 to January 2009, the defendants received \$1,220,897.84 (CAN). According to the trustee, the defendants filed a proof of claim in the amount of \$824,999.82 in the underlying LLS America case. By declaration (ECF No. 14), the defendants presented evidence that they reside in Canada, rarely travel to the United States, and each promissory note listed a Canadian entity as borrower with distributions primarily made from Canadian entities. The declaration further states that the loans or investments were solicited in Canada, but no details were provided regarding the manner of the solicitation.

The grounds for dismissal in the subject motion are: (1) ineffective service of process; (2) improper extraterritorial application of United States bankruptcy law; and (3) failure to state the alleged fraud with particularity as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. (9)(b). The reasoning regarding the denial of dismissal based on those grounds is set forth in the Previous Decision and is applicable to the subject motion.

As in the Previous Decision, one basis for the request to dismiss is the lack of personal jurisdiction. As articulated in the Previous Decision, the filing of a proof of claim is a consent to jurisdiction to adjudicate that claim and the related action brought by the trustee of the LLS America estate under 11 U.S.C. § 548. The reasoning regarding the denial of dismissal based upon a consent to personal jurisdiction is set forth in the Previous Decision and is applicable to the subject motion, which is **DENIED**. Counsel for the defendants shall submit an order consistent with this decision.

///END OF MEMORANDUM DECISION///

MEMORANDUM DECISION RE: . . . - Page 2